

Minutes of the 62nd NERSAP Meeting

Remote Meeting via Microsoft Teams on 20th July 2021

Attendees:

Mel Swift (Chair) (MS)	GTC	mel.swift@gtc-uk.co.uk
Les Thomas (Secretary)	Lloyds Register	les.thomas@lr.org
Graham Smith (GS)	The HEA	Graham.Smith@thehea.org.uk
Simon Burnett (SB)	Morrison US	simon.burnett2@morrison.com
Martyn Crocker (MC)	UKPN	martyn.crocker@ukpowernetnetworks.co.uk
Paul Wragg (PW)	Power On Connections	paulwragg@poweronconnections.co.uk
Dave Ellis (DE)	Lloyd's Register	dave.ellis@lr.org
Nigel Evans (NE)	SPEN	nigel.evans@spenenergy.com
Chris Roe (CR)	UCCG - Eon	chris.roe@eonenergy.com
Karl Miller (KM)	Lloyd's Register	karl.miller@lr.org
Andy Thomas (AT)	SSE	andy.thomas@sse.com
Mike Doward (MD)	ENWL	michael.doward@enwl.co.uk
William Cass (WC)	Last Mile	William.Cass@lastmile-uk.com
Andrew Wilkinson (AW)	ESP	andrew.wilkinson@espug.com
Jayson Whitaker	Energy Assets	JaysonWhitaker@EnergyAssets.co.uk
Brian Hoy	ENWL	Brian.Hoy@enwl.co.uk

Apologies :

None received

1. Introductions

Following brief introductions, the Chairman welcomed the attendees to the meeting and a brief overview of the agenda was outlined.

2. Election of a Deputy Chairperson

It was explained that subsequent to his nomination and acceptance as Vice Chair during the last NERSAP meeting, MD had in fact changed roles within ENWL and consequently, it was no longer appropriate for him to attend the panel. In the Interim, BH is to be the ENWL representative. MS thanked MD for his valuable contribution over the years and offered his thanks on behalf of the panel.

As MD was no longer going to be in attendance a new Vice Chair is required, and nominations were requested from the DNO community. No nominations were received. During discussion it was reiterated that the role was not onerous as LR provide the secretarial support and the Vice chair role was there to support the Chair as and when required. There was very little additional workload in the role.

Action: DNO representatives are requested to consider if they wish to be nominated for the role and advise MS/LT prior to next meeting	
---	--

3. Review and acceptance of Previous Minutes dated 16th March 2021

The minutes had been issued prior to the meeting and were confirmed as a true record of events.

The outstanding actions were discussed as follows:

3.1. Update on Competency Working Group

<p>Action: LT & MS to discuss a new schedule and circulate proposed dates.</p>	<p>Open: To be discussed in agenda item 7.1 below</p>
---	--

3.2. Update on NERS Strategy Working group

<p>Action: 1st Meeting held and program of meetings to be developed to ensure scheme remains fit for purpose to respond to the requirements of external impacts in the industry</p>	<p>Open: To be discussed in item 7.2 below</p>
--	---

3.3. Raising Standards with ICPs

<p>Action: MS agreed to raise the action at the ENA and WC (Agreed in a later session) agreed to raise at the INA</p>	<p>Open: There has been no meetings of either the ENA or INA</p>
--	---

3.4. NERS Data March 2021 – June 2021

It was explained that Best Practice discussions are now to be included as a standard agenda item in the UIP forum, and based on the highest level of findings currently, best practice discussions were initiated in the areas of equipment calibration and the passport process.

<p>Action: LT to include Best Practice discussions in future NERS Providers Forums</p>	<p>Ongoing: Best practice is now a standing agenda on the NERS Providers forum.</p> <p>Calibration issues and passport issues discussed initially.</p>
---	---

3.5. Revision of the NERS requirements document

The proposed amendments to V8 of the scheme requirements document are discussed in agenda item 6 below.

3.6. Operational Requirements

Paul Costello's proposal letter had been issued as an embedded document within the minutes.

The proposal is that those ICPs holding Construction; Network Connections - Jointing scopes (where LR currently already assess procedures relating to their understanding of operational activity), would be able to utilise the services of another appropriately accredited ICP to undertake the operational activity relating to their new connection.

Such ICPs would need to be capable of receiving and understanding a PTW or other safety documents issued to them by a DNO or another accredited ICP carrying out the operational activity and their associated procedures would need to reflect this.

LR intend to re-iterate such required capabilities on each future visit/assessment to these ICPs and in the meantime devise a checklist for those ICPs holding Network Connection scopes to give stakeholders confidence that an ICP can sub-contract Operational activities to an appropriately accredited ICP without necessarily holding any Operational scopes themselves.

During discussion, it was clear that there was general agreement in principle but that some of the attendees had not realised the embedded document was there, or could not access due to company fire walls etc. LT to issue as a separate document with these minutes

Action: LT to include PC's proposal letter with the minutes so that they can be considered in readiness for the next NERSAP in July.	Ongoing: Panel members requested to consider the proposal by August 20 th 2021
--	--

4. NERS DATA June 2020 – June 2021

The NERS Data was presented (see attached) and during discussion it was agreed that the data should be first presented at the NERS Providers Forum so that the ICPs knew what was being reported.

As this would also mean the report was issued-in advance of the NERSAP meeting. NERSAP Attendees would have an opportunity to digest the content prior to the attending the meeting and would be better able to comment / discuss.

Currently the number of ICPs are as follows
Full accreditation All scopes - 48
Full/Partial - 213
Partial only – 70
Suspended – 6

Total 337

Number of new companies since last meeting – 21

Results indicate that the two areas with the highest deficiencies remain as per the previous reporting period.

- 13 Passport Incorrect Format /Incorrect contents
- 7h Sufficient equipment is available for the work being done and that, as required, this equipment is maintained and kept in calibration.

It was hoped that the recent best practice discussion and the circulation of the passport template (See attached) will help address these issues.

The discussion continued as to what format the LR report should take as clearly there is a need to monitor the accreditation body, but there is also a need for driving best practice with the ICPs and we need to better monitor ICP performance. The current reports do not adequately address this.

Examples of inconsistency between Assessors were highlighted and the need for a clear understanding of any data were reiterated, this means any reporting has to be robust. It was then explained that LR are working on new reporting formats that would hopefully assist in both consistency and trend analysis. This new reporting process would allow the granularity required to provide more detailed information which will help the above.

The discussion also highlighted that the Partial Full and Three Yearly Recertification assessments resulted in similar findings being identified on a regular basis. This being the case, this area would be included in the next Best Practice discussions.

KM reiterated that the current team of Assessors were more flexible in their approach than they were previously and there was a current drive for improvement in how we deliver the schemes. This will result in an iterative approach to establishing better reporting criteria that will hopefully encourage best practice throughout the industry and it was agreed that the Strategic Working Group was the best place to look at the schemes requirements document and the appropriate reporting criteria.

MS commented that the use of Microsoft Teams was an example of increasing participation with significant increase in numbers in attendance.

Action: LT provide the LR Data with a more detailed description of the numbers at the NERS providers forum as well as NERSAP	
Action: Strategic Working Group to consider reporting requirements	
Action: LR to raise the Partial full and three yearly assessment trends as the next best practice discussion and subsequently, should there be a need, re-visit existing guidance.	

5. Review of NERS Forum Minutes June 29th 2021

5.1. Network Authorisations

LT apologised to PW that the action raised at the previous NERS Providers Forum regarding whether a NERS authorised Certificate of Competency from provider A could be submitted for Provider B as they are part of the Network connections agreement and whether this was allowable was not discussed at NERSAP.

PW gave the background to the issue and explained that this particular issue was a direct result in UKPN's internal permitry arrangements and earthing requirements for some Self Connection jobs. At the NERS Providers Forum discussion there was a consensus that the situation described could be experienced across the various networks and consequently there should be a consistent approach developed.

PW explained that the issue was in fact due to the interpretation of rules surrounding the adoption of Option 2 or 3 when using a contracted SAP. Consequently this action is closed, as PW is to take up the issue with UKPN.

5.2. Passports

LR have been asked if we could supply a sample document. The document is included with these minutes.

6. Revision of the NERS requirements document

Version 8-of the scheme requirements document was provided as part of the meeting supporting documentation and the alternative text for the Technical Advisor was discussed. It was explained that ENWL have concerns about ICPs with well established technical competence demonstrating little understanding of scheme requirements.

A detailed discussion ensued where it was clarified that Technical Advisor role has always been a Project Management appointment as knowledge of the scheme requirements is the responsibility of Assessing and Authorising officers in Construction companies.

There was a view that the issue was likely to be prevalent in new companies coming on board and the fact that 41 companies had been added to the register in the last 6 months was a risk and the DNOs had to have confidence in the rigour of the partial and full assessment process. PW explained that having recently experienced the process with a new company within the group, the process is rigorous. LT reiterated that as the LR Assessors check whereabouts and authorisations on every visit across all schemes, for a company to be unaware of the requirements was difficult to understand. MD and DE agreed to discuss the nature of the specific issues identified to see if the issue was systemic or if there were specific underlying reasons in these cases.

Following the discussions, it was agreed that the original Technical Advisor wording would remain in V8 of the Scheme Requirements Document and following examination of the issues identified by ENWL, should there be a need to reconsider the wording this would be addressed by the SWG.

It was also agreed that where a Network Operator identified a NC regarding whereabouts that they should notify LR so that this can be investigated further as part the ongoing assessments.

Action: MD and DE to discuss specifics off line and report back	
Action: NOs NCs raised over whereabouts to be notified to LR so that this can be investigated further as part the ongoing assessments.	

7. Working Group Updates

7.1. Competency Working Group

It was explained that the Competency Working Group had been reinvigorated and the first meeting had been held. MS gave an overview of what the working group was trying to achieve and reiterated the need to ensure the industry operated a robust competency system.

The recent fatalities and the subsequent Safety Alert A99 issued by UK Power Networks were referenced to demonstrate the need for members to be able to demonstrate the competence of those working on the network and the need for a review of competency arrangements in the industry.

The overall objectives of a standardised approach to competence, and the external drivers to achieve this were reiterated and whilst there was an understanding that some DNOs had not been supportive previously, this should not prevent the development of such a system for the members of this community.

The next competency working group Meeting is scheduled for August 6th 2021

7.2. Strategic Working Group

No further meetings have been held but the detailed discussions regarding the Technical Advisor role and reporting criteria reiterated the need for the group.

Action: LT arrange next meeting ASAP	
--------------------------------------	--

8. LR Annual Price increase

LT clarified that in accordance with the NERS MOU it is incumbent on LR to seek the NERSMG acceptance of proposed increases in assessment costs.

The agreed formula that has been in use since 2013 is

$NR = \text{current fee} \times (0.1 + 0.9 \times (\text{February Current year indices} / \text{February previous year indices}))$

Using this formula the annual increase would be 1.23%

LR's are seeking a 2% increase to £970.00 the MOU agreed rate 1.23% results in a price of £963.00

LR are seeking the 2% increase as during 2019 and 2020 the agreed formula resulted in a 2.23 and 2.21% rate increase and the office of national statistics also stated that the Consumer Prices Index (CPI) 12-month rate was in fact 2.5% in both years when LR only requested 2%.

It was explained that existing NERS clients have signed the RFS for their schedules which does in fact include a 2% increase each July so there is no change to their RFS rates.

The price increase was agreed.

9. LRQA and Inspection Services Sale

KM explained that Lloyd's Register announced on 29th June that the Business Assurance & Inspection Services division will become an independent business, branded LRQA, under new ownership of Goldman Sachs Asset Management. The Utilities team are part of Inspection Services.

Lloyd's Register Group has entered into a binding agreement with Goldman Sachs Asset Management and expects to complete the full separation of the businesses by the third calendar quarter of 2021.

The company will become LRQA to reflect 35 years of expertise and heritage in the assurance market.

KM reiterated that the existing management team and Assessors remain unchanged and there is unlikely to be a change to procedures and processes as these are to be rebranded, not changed. BH stated such procurement actions seldom run smoothly as they often affect IT solutions etc.

The regulatory impact and the mechanics for novating the MOU were questioned but as yet, there is no definitive answer from the LR legal team, but workshops are in place and are ongoing to address such concerns.

The current belief is that existing certificates and logos will remain valid until such time a certificate is renewed.

KM was asked to attend the next Strategic Working Group so that any updates can be provided and the impacts on the scheme considered.

Action: KM to attend the next Strategic Working Group	
---	--

10. AOB and Date of next meeting

10.1. AOB

- WC sought clarification relating to whereabouts and the need for ICPs to ensure LR attendance at the first visit. What happens if there is no availability?

It was explained that under normal circumstances it was unlikely that we would not be able to attend and certainly the expectation is that we would get someone to attend and that normally if we were not able to attend then the work should not go ahead.

Exceptions such as the Network Owner being in attendance or when the ICP holds numerous scopes and this was the last one or two scopes to be witnessed in a well-established on going portfolio could be considered but this would be by exception.

It was reiterated that if the DNO specific deficiencies relating to whereabouts were passed to LR this would be beneficial.

- BH questioned if the “design lite” idea discussed at the last CIC meeting had been considered and had a possible extension of construction scopes to include simple design elements for low risk elements had been reviewed. It was explained that as the proposal by the Electricity Board had been rejected due to wording LR had not done any more on this, but that it would be prudent to refer to the SWG for consideration of the need for limited design lite scope at lower voltages.

During discussion it was agreed that it would be beneficial to review the content of the existing scopes to determine if the requirements could be added there rather than have a further scope.

Action: LT to add to the agenda for the next Strategic Working Group	
--	--

- KM informed the meeting that due to the increasing number of ICPs taking up the scheme that a business case had been submitted for and additional Assessor.

10.2. Date of next Meeting

MS thanked everyone for their attendance and contribution and confirmed the dates for the next meeting were as follows:

Dates for the meetings of the NERS Provider Forum and NERSAP in 2021 are:

NERS Provider Forum	2nd November
NERSAP	23 rd November

Due to the success of the ‘Teams Meetings’ and the efficiency of the process, the meetings will remain as a ‘Teams Meetings’ and should a physical meeting be desired next year then this be decided then.



NERS Advisory Panel – Meeting

Tuesday 20th July 2021, 10:00am Microsoft Teams Meeting.



Working together
for a safer world

NERS DATA June 2020 – June 2021

Surveillance visits 12 Months to date

Date	Outstanding from previous month	Planned SVs for Month	Total (Outstanding plus Planned - Column F)]	SVs completed in Month	Customer Change to planned month	Variance
Jun-20	0	27	5	18	22	13
Jul-20	1	45	32	33	14	1
Aug-20	2	28	25	29	5	4
Sep-20	0	43	30	27	13	-3
Oct-20	1	29	20	34	10	14
Nov-20	5	34	28	26	11	-2
Dec-20	4	35	26	30	13	4
Jan-21	6	36	31	31	11	0
Feb-21	1	26	18	26	9	8
Mar-21	5	36	33	32	8	-1
Apr-21	0	41	37	36	4	-1
May-21	1	40	35	39	6	4
Jun-21	0	34	32	37	2	5
Totals	26	454	352	398	222	

Note

- Red Cells denote where LR has not met the number of SVs required during that month.
- Green cells denote where LR has exceeded the number of SVs required during that month.
- Where a provider has requested that the date of a surveillance visit is postponed to meet their requirements this is not counted as a planned SV for that Month.

Surveillance Visit Performance Last 12 Months



Surveillance Visits BY DNO/IDNO

Surveillance Visits by DNO/IDNO																							
	ENC	ENW	Last Mile	ESP	NPG	SPN	SSE-N	SSE-S	UKPN	WPD	UKPD	Murphy	GTC	Fulcrum	Office	Energy Assets	Leep	Urenco	Wind Energy	Private	HEN	Design	Total
Jun-20	0	1	0	0	3	1	0	0	3	4	0	0	1	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	16
Jul-20	0	2	1	1	4	3	1	0	4	3	0	1	2	2	5	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	35
Aug-20	0	1	3	0	4	3	0	2	8	3	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	29
Sep-20	0	1	2	1	5	3	1	1	3	8	0	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	30
Oct-20	0	1	2	1	1	0	1	1	9	9	0		4	2	2	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	36
Nov-20	0	2	1	0	3	1	1	1	11	2	0	0	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	26
Dec-20	0	0	2	4	2	6	0	1	5	3	1	0	1	0	4	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	32
Jan-21	0	3	2	0	2	1	0	0	11	6	1	0	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	30
Feb-21	0	2	0	0	1	3	2	0	5	4	0	0	0	1	6	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	26
Mar-21	0	2	0	0	3	0	4	4	7	3	0	1	2	1	1	1	1	0	0	4	1	3	38
Apr-21	1	1	2	0	3	0	2	2	9	6	0	2	1	0	4	0	3	0	0	1	2	1	40
May-21	0	2	2	2	6	2	3	0	14	2	0	3	3	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	44
Jun-21	0	2	0	0	3	0	1	0	7	12	0	4	2	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	37
	1	20	17	9	40	23	16	12	96	65	2	14	18	8	33	7	7	1	1	13	10	6	419
	0	5	4	2	10	5	4	3	23	16	0	3	4	2	8	2	2	0	0	3	2	1	100

Surveillance visits are determined by the ICP and reflect their work commitments and programme.

Three Yearly Renewals

3 Yearly Renewals

	Completed prior to expiry date	Completed after expiry date	Total		Late by how many days?	Count	
Jun-20	3	2	5		<10		The number of days late for visits completed after the expiry dates reflect the length of time after the due dates the visits assessments were completed. The delays were directly attributable to COVID restrictions and Furlough arrangements. These assessments have now been completed.
Jul-20	0	3	3		10 to 20	1	
Aug-20	1	0	1		20 to 30	3	
Sep-20	2	0	2		40 to 50	0	
Oct-20	3	0	3		60 to 70	0	
Nov-20	4	0	4		70 to 80	0	
Dec-20	1	0	1		80 to 90	1	
Jan-21	0	0	0		90 to 100	0	
Feb-21	3	0	3		>100	0	
Mar-21	0	0	0				
Apr-21	1	0	1		Total	5	All delays can be attributed to COVID related issues, no provider went out of accreditation
May-21	4	0	4				
Jun-21	3	0	3				
	25	5	30	Total for year			

Annual Partial

Annual Partial							
	Completed prior to expiry date	Completed after expiry date	Total		Late by how many days?	Count	
Jun-20			0		<10	1	
Jul-20	3	1	4		10 to 20	0	
Aug-20	3	2	5		20 to 30	1	
Sep-20	0	3	3		40 to 50	1	
Oct-20	2	1	3		60 to 70	0	
Nov-20	0	0	0		70 to 80	0	
Dec-20	0	0	0		80 to 90	1	
Jan-21	1	0	1		90 to 100	0	
Feb-21	0	0	0		>100	3	
Mar-21	1	0	1				
Apr-21	2	0	2		Total	7	
May-21	1	0	1				
Jun-21	0	0	0				
	13	7	20	Total For Year			

The number of days late for visits completed after the expiry dates reflect the length of time after the due dates the visits assessments were completed. The delays were directly attributable to COVID restrictions and Furlough arrangements.

These assessments have now been completed.

Partial to Full Assessments by DNO/IDNO Area

Partial to Full Assessments by DNO/IDNO Area																		
	ENC	ENW	Last Mile	ESP	NPG	SPN	SSE-N	SSE-S	UKPN	WPD	Fulcrum	Private	Design	Murphy	Eclipse	Other	Office	Total
Jun-20	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
Jul-20	0	2	1	0	6	1	0	0	1	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	14
Aug-20	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	4	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	9
Sep-20	0	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	3	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	9
Oct-20	0	0	0	0	1	3	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	7
Nov-20	0	2	0	0	0	1	1	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
Dec-20	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	2	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	7
Jan-21	0	3	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	9
Feb-21	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
Mar-21	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	3	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
Apr-21	0	1	2	1	3	1	0	0	3	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15
May-21	0	1	0	0	1	0	2	0	4	3	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	12
Jun-21	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	7
	0	11	4	1	24	9	3	0	27	26	1	1	3	0	0	0	3	113
	0	10	4	1	21	8	3	0	24	23	1	1	3	0	0	0	3	100

Partial To Full Assessments are entirely dependant of the ICPs first project award

Number of Minor Deficiencies recorded by DNO/IDNO each month

Number of Minor Deficiencies recorded by DNO/IDNO each month																				
	ENC	ENW	Last Mile	ESP	NPG	SPN	SSE-N	SSE-S	UKPN	WPD	Energy Assets	Leep	GTC	Fulcrum	Private	Office	Harlaxton	Murphy	Design	Total
Jun-20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	4	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	8
Jul-20	0	2	1	0	0	1	3	0	5	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	23
Aug-20	0	0	2	0	1	0	0	1	15	2	0	0	0	0	6	8	0	0	0	35
Sep-20	0	0	2	1	0	1	1	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	11	0	0	0	19
Oct-20	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	1	9	16	0	4	5	0	0	2	0	0	0	40
Nov-20	0	0	3	0	6	0	0	5	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	28
Dec-20	0	0	2	0	4	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	18
Jan-21	0	5	2	0	0	0	0	0	12	4	1	0	0	2	5	0	0	0	0	31
Feb-21	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	6	0	0	0	12
Mar-21	0	5	0	0	1	0	0	1	5	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	14
Apr-21	0	3	1	0	1	0	0	3	12	0	0	0	6	0	0	1	0	0	0	27
May-21	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	8	12	2	0	0	1	0	0	4	0	0	0	30
Jun-21	0	5	1	0	2	1	1	0	2	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	16
Totals	0	20	14	1	17	7	7	19	91	44	1	4	15	2	14	43	0	0	2	301
%age Total	0	7	5	0	6	2	2	6	30	15	0	1	5	1	5	14	0	0	1	100

NERS – Statistics

Full - 48

Full/Partial - 213

Partial – 70

Suspended – 6

Total 337

- Number of new companies since last meeting – 21

CONFIRMATION OF COMPETENCY

Certificate No - 12

STATEMENT



We Herby Certify that: Joe Bloggs

Employed As: Jointer/Fitter

Has been assessed as **competent** in having sufficient practical and/or technical knowledge and/or experience to enable the avoidance of danger personally and/or to others*, and has been assessed as being **competent** to carry out the following Operational/craftsperson/support person* tasks on/to electrical plant and equipment/support* in the delivery of works including holding and maintaining all the required core and supporting, including employer specific, knowledge, skills, training and qualifications and which has been recorded and will be continually monitored to ensure continued compliance

- 1. LV Jointer - Live and Dead - all cable types
- 2. 11kV Jointer - all cables
- 3. 11kV Fitter - Installation of 11kV Distribution Substations including earthing
- 5a. Competent person under ACME Distribution Safety Rules
- 5b. Authorised to receive PTW under ACME Distribution Safety Rule
- 5c. Authorised to carry out Fusing and Linking LV ***EXCLUDING MESH TYPE NETWORKS***

Issue

Name, Designation and Signature

(Assessing Officer)

Date

Name, Designation and Signature

(authorising Officer)

Date

Date of Issue

Date of Expiry

RECEIPT

* I understand that should any of my competencies, authorisations or training expire or no longer be valid for any reason I shall cease any associated works and inform the company without delay

* I am Aware that a separate written authority will, or may, be required where and before work is carried out on a 3rd party owned site, system or network (this includes Distribution network Operator and/or Independent Distribution Network Operator owned sites, systems and networks)

* I also understand that the authority indicated on this certificate, and any other authority associated with it, is only valid whilst I am employed on actual contract(s) operated by this company

* As the holder of this authority I understand and accept my responsibilities associated with the duties detailed above

Signature of person assessed as competent

Date

* Delete as Appropriate